


# The Nebraska PROFESSIONAL



Nebraska Board of Engineers and Architects Newsletter

Spring 2015

## LB 23 Signed into Law

by Steve Masters, PE  
Executive Director

Changes taking place in engineering and architecture were strong motivators for the Board in seeking revisions to the Act. Those changes include the very nature of how examinations are administered, work performed by licensees, an increasingly mobile industry, and project delivery. Assuring that statutes are responsive in meeting continuing changes represents the culmination of a cooperative strategy, hard work and Board leadership.

On March 18, 2015, Governor Pete Ricketts signed into law LB 23. The Board has been working with numerous stakeholders and Senator Bob Krist since early 2012. Crossing that finish line is a direct result of the dedication of all those involved. Representatives from the Nebraska chapter of American Institute of Architects, the American Council of Engineering Companies and the Professional Engineers Coalition offered testimonies in support of LB23 during the hearing of the Health and Human Service Committee.

There were three main objectives the Board hoped to accomplish with LB23: (1) to make the Act more easily understood; (2) correct provisions conflicting with current technology and programs; and (3) anticipate changes in the practice areas. The majority of changes were clerical in nature to clarify language. Testimony during the hearing not only supported these objectives, but also highlighted that Nebraska licensees are also exporters of business to other states.

The Board appreciates the work of Senator Krist, his staff and the many stakeholders who worked in cooperation to change the Act. We look forward to continuing to build upon that energy. The Board's next step will be to focus on cleaning up Rules to better reflect current statutes and practices.

### CHANGES OF NOTICE

**Section 5:** Building defined to mean any structure used, or intended to be used, to support, shelter, or enclose any use or occupancy.

**Section 6:** Building official means a person appointed by the state or a political subdivision having responsibility for the public safety and welfare and the enforcement of building codes with regard to buildings and other structures within such person's jurisdiction.

**Section 14:** "Good moral character" revised to "good ethical character"

**Section 18:** Definition of architecture slightly broadened as well as when

(continued on page 2)

2015	UPCOMING DATES
April 17	NCEES PE Exam
May 15	Board Meeting*
June 12	Board Meeting*
August 8	Board Meeting*
September 10	PE Registration Deadline
September 18	Board Meeting*
October 10	PE Exams
October 23	Board Meeting*
November 13	CE Event
December 11	Board Meeting*

\*Subject to change

### Also Inside this Edition:

Architects & Engineers Seek Immunity	p. 2
Continuing Education Event	2
The 411 on Sealing	3
Helgoth Honored	3
CPC Reporting Solutions	4
NCEES Statistics	4
IDP Streamline to Begin	5
Resolved Compliance Cases	6
Licensure updates	7

Board Members
Lori Krejci - Chair, Architect Member, Lincoln
Krista Kester - Vice Chair, Public Member, Douglas
Roger Helgoth - Secretary, Engineer Member, Omaha
Mark Champion - Architect Member, Lincoln
Fred Choobineh - Engineer Member, Lincoln
Mike Conzett - Engineer Member, Omaha
Jennifer Klien - Engineer Member, Lincoln
Thomas Laging - Architect Member, Lincoln
Staff
Steve Masters - Executive Director
Sandra Weaver - Administrator
Lisa Mathews - Compliance Officer
Rachel Fetterman - Public Information Officer
Jean Lais - Administrative Assistant
Jeanne Vliet - Accounting Clerk
Kathleen Clements - Staff Assistant

## LB 23 (continued from page 1)

a person shall be construed as practicing architecture.

**Section 24:** Technical submissions defined as only documents that are prepared in conjunction with a project.

**Section 26:** Daily pay for Board members participating in relevant activities increased from \$60 to \$100 and further defined authorized expenses.

**Section 33:** Allows for registration of business name if the Board determines the nature of business could not reasonably be construed as engineering or architecture focused.

**Section 37:** Clarification of responsibilities of a coordinating professional pertaining to sealing and review of documents.

**Section 42:** Requires a 2/3-majority vote by the Board on violations of rules and regulations.

**Section 44:** Rework of initial licensure as architect, closer mirroring paths currently available to engineers such as experience and comity.

The Final Bill, Slip Law (with all 51 sections) and history can be viewed at [nebraskalegislature.gov/bills/view\\_bill.php?DocumentID=24360](http://nebraskalegislature.gov/bills/view_bill.php?DocumentID=24360)

## New Website

A new website will be rolled out later this spring/early summer. The new site is intended to be more mobile friendly and easier to navigate. It will be available at <https://ea.nebraska.gov>.



## Board to Host Continuing Education Event

As a renewed initiative, the Board will be offering annual opportunities for licensees to earn low-cost continuing education units.

This fall, Deb Long will speak on ethics and share her research, expertise and insights to Nebraska licensees. This seminar will qualify for four hours of continuing education.

Long is a career educator and international speaker, and is certified as an ethics trainer by the Josephson Institute of Ethics.

Registration and more details will be provided by email and through our website in late August.

**When:** Friday, November 13, 2015

**Where:** Scott Conference Center, Omaha

**Time:** 12:30 - 4:30 p.m.

## Architects and Engineers Seek Immunity – LB 22

by Sara Kay, Executive Director, AIA-NE and Jackie McCullough, Executive Director, ACEC-NE

Senator Bob Krist, of District 10 of Omaha, introduced LB 22 on behalf of AIA Nebraska and ACEC Nebraska. This legislation stipulates that an engineer, or architect, who voluntarily and without compensation provides engineering | architectural services in response to a natural disaster, terrorist attack, or other catastrophic event shall not be liable for any personal injury, wrongful death, property damage, or other loss caused by the engineer's acts, errors or omissions in the performance of such services. Immunity would not be applied in cases of wanton, willful, or intentional misconduct. The immunity only applies during the emergency and for 90 days after the emergency.

During emergency situations caused by natural disasters, terrorist attacks, or other catastrophic events, architects and engineers are often called upon—and readily volunteer their services—to assist with relief and recovery efforts. These services include ensuring the safety of structures, buildings, infrastructure, piping or other systems. However, Nebraska fails to extend immunity to licensed architects and professional engineers who provide critical services in an emergency.

As proven by the relief efforts following Hurricanes Katrina and Rita, and the 9/11 terrorist attacks,

these professional's expertise in assessing structural, mechanical, electrical, or other infrastructure is invaluable to assisting federal, state, or local governments that may have limited resources during emergencies. Therefore, it is crucial that state governments establish liability protections that provide immunity for architects and engineers during a declared emergency so that architects and engineers can quickly volunteer their services without being deterred by liability concerns. In return, the government would be able to quickly mobilize architects and engineers to adequately evaluate threats to the public's health and safety.

**25 states have extended "Good Samaritan" laws to engineers and architects for their voluntary services.** Architects and engineers in tornado alley, with the expectation of Nebraska have this protection, this includes: Texas, Oklahoma and Kansas. Additional neighboring states that also have a comprehensive Good Samaritan protection law include: Colorado, Missouri and North Dakota.

Members of AIA Nebraska and ACEC Nebraska are meeting with Senator Krist and members of Nebraska Emergency Management administration in an attempt to provide immunity protection in 2016.

# The 411 on Sealing

by Lisa Mathews, Compliance Officer

If you are an architect or professional engineer licensed in Nebraska, you will likely find yourself required to seal a document at some point in your career.

Most times, the documents or plans you seal will be those submitted to your client or to a government agency as required by Nebraska law. Yet even on some types of work where a seal is not required, such as a residential project that is exempt from requiring licensees, an architect or professional engineer will often seal his or her work. Here are a few tips for sealing work in Nebraska:

**WHO:** Architects and Professional Engineers in Nebraska will need a seal. You can create your own digital seal or you can buy one from any source.

**WHAT:** Seal any work performed by you or under your direct supervision to be submitted to your client or to any government agency. If you want to submit “draft” plans, get permission first, then make sure your plans are clearly marked “draft.”

**WHERE:** Every design professional should seal the cover page along with the seal designating the Coordinating Professional, and then on each page of their work. If sealing a report



with several contributors, seal the first and last page of your work.

**WHEN:** Some projects in Nebraska are exempt and do not require design professionals due to the square footage and occupancy type of the building. Refer to Rules Chapter 10 for a chart of these exemptions. Do not seal work that you did not complete yourself or work that was not completed under your direct supervision and control. If you get a request from someone asking you to seal their work, please refer them to the Board’s compliance officer for information on how to lawfully complete their project.

**HOW:** A valid seal must include three elements – the stamp, the signature and the date of sealing. Your seal can be ink or digital but must be visible. Check the wording on your seal. If your title reads “Registered” before Architect or Professional Engineer, you need a new seal. PEs will also have their discipline of licensure on their seal.

**WHY:** A seal is one of the oldest methods used to claim responsibility for a project or to establish the expertise of the professional. Because licensing is a function of the State, the seal is the visible acknowledgement that the professional meets the minimum standards established by the State and understands his or her duty to their client and the public to abide by the laws and safety codes adopted in that jurisdiction.

Lisa Mathews is the Compliance Officer for the Board. If you have questions about sealing or other requirements, email [lisa.mathews@nebraska.gov](mailto:lisa.mathews@nebraska.gov) or call directly at 402.471.9602.

## Go Green!

Email our office today to receive your newsletter electronically. You can also view current and past newsletters online.

[nbea.office@nebraska.gov](mailto:nbea.office@nebraska.gov)

## Helgoth Honored

Board member Roger Helgoth, PE, was recently awarded this year’s NCEES Central Zone Distinguished Service Award. Helgoth was presented the award at NCEES’ annual Central Zone meeting in Hershey, Pennsylvania.

The Nebraska Board unanimously nominated Helgoth for his commitment and outstanding service to the Central Zone and NCEES. As a longtime volunteer and advocate for engineering education initiatives, his dedication and contributions to the engineering profession have provided a strong vision for the future.

Helgoth has served on the Nebraska Board since 2002 and has held all Board offices including Chair. He has been an active member of



Left: Roger Helgoth presented with the Distinguished Service Award by Christy Van Buskirk, NCEES Central Zone Vice President and Iowa Chair. Right: Representatives of the Nebraska Board - Dale Sall, Mike Conzett, Roger Helgoth, Jennifer Klein, Steve Masters.

NCEES since 2004, participating in multiple committees throughout the years. Currently, Helgoth is the Chair of the Committee on Education, a personal passion of his. In addition he has served in the University of Nebraska’s Engineering Dean’s Advisory Board and multiple professional associations.

After a distinguished career as a Professional Engineer for 45 years, Helgoth is retiring from his position of President at Kirkham Michael Consulting Engineers. He continues to advocate for our state Board as well as NCEES and numerous other professional civic and community activities.

## Working toward CPC reporting solutions

NCEES's Committee on Member Board Administrators (MBAs) is seeking to simplify the process for licensees attempting to meet continuing professional competency (CPC) requirements across multiple states.

### The core of the problem

The largest hurdle the MBAs identified dealt with differing renewal cycles and trying to match CPC hours with the different renewal periods.

On the surface, this does not appear to be such a problem, but it can be. For example, let's keep it simple and say you are licensed in only two jurisdictions. In Jurisdiction A, your biennial renewal period is January 1, 2013, to December 31, 2015. You are also licensed in Jurisdiction B, and your annual renewal period is July 1, 2014, to June 30, 2015. Even if both jurisdictions follow the standard outlined in the NCEES Continuing Professional Competency Guidelines and require 30 professional development hours (PDHs) for the biennial renewal and 15 PDHs for the annual renewal, compliance for either jurisdiction would depend on the months in which you earned your credits. Of course, many professional engineers and surveyors are licensed in more than two jurisdictions, multiplying the problem for them.

### A proposed solution

After considering multiple options, the MBA Committee settled on giving licensees two options to satisfy CPC requirements.

The first option is the current one: licensees must meet the requirements of each individual state in which they are licensed. This would best for those licensed in one or only a handful of states.

A second option would be following the NCEES Continuing Professional Competency Guidelines and earning 15 PDHs per calendar year. The standard CPC renewal timing of the calendar year would be applicable regardless of what the particular renewal period happened to be in the state. This would resolve the complicated issue of "which month" did you earn the credit for each particular jurisdiction while accomplishing the goal of each jurisdiction—ensuring

that individuals are furthering their practice knowledge and keeping up to date with additional education to safeguard the health, safety, and welfare of the public.

Each licensee would have to declare which option he or she was using when renewing a license, would still be subject to audit by each state as applicable, and would have to meet any particular requirements that states have regarding the content of the courses. Licensees would then certify that they have either met the NCEES standard or the state's requirements. Either way, this would set us on a path to resolve this issue.

### Moving forward


The MBA Committee is continuing to work with the Education Committee to determine if the proposed fix is the best solution, and if so, to develop an action plan. Any action plan devised will rely heavily on the willingness of member boards to find a way to make this happen in their jurisdictions. Rules and policies may have to be revised to accept such a standard, but the reality is that this is a critical mobility problem for licensees across the country.

## NCEES launches licensure statistics publication and interactive annual report

NCEES introduced the inaugural issue of NCEES Squared, the official NCEES source for engineering and surveying licensure statistics. This new annual publication is designed to make licensure data available to a wide audience, including educators, employers, and the general public. It includes information about the number of U.S. licensees, exam volume and pass rates for NCEES exams, the average age of examinees, and much more.

"Squared" refers to being direct, honest, and in good order," said NCEES Chief Executive Officer Jerry Carter. "Recent technology enhancements now allow us to analyze more data. We wanted to offer a straightforward account of our 2013–14 fiscal year through data that measure where licensure is today and the trends we are seeing. We hope this information will help more people understand licensure and its importance to our everyday lives."

NCEES Squared snapshot



## IDP streamline begins July 1

*The Intern Development Program (IDP) will no longer require elective hours*

On July 1, 2015, NCARB will streamline the IDP by no longer requiring elective hours. Here's what you need to know about the upcoming changes:

### IDP Streamline Overview

Starting July 1, the IDP will require 3,740 total hours defined by the existing 17 experience areas outlined in the table at the right.

Your jurisdiction may have additional requirements, as each jurisdiction sets its own requirements for initial licensure. Some may continue to require 5,600+ hours and/or have a minimum employment duration requirement. NCARB will continue to support the individual requirements for all 54 jurisdictions. You can find out what your jurisdiction's experience requirement is at NCARB's website at [www.ncarb.org](http://www.ncarb.org).

*Nebraska will not require any experience beyond what is outlined in the streamlined IDP at this time. Nebraska Rules require satisfaction of IDP as defined and verified by NCARB at time of application.*

### Reporting Hours

Good news—you'll continue to report hours through My NCARB and the My IDP mobile app. You won't lose the hours you've already reported, and you'll still be able to view them in My NCARB. Plus, existing supplemental experience opportunities—including site visits, design competitions, and the Professional Conduct Monograph—aren't going away.

Experience Areas	Required Hours
<b>Pre-Design</b>	
Programming	80
Site and Building Analysis	80
Project Cost and Feasibility	40
Planning and Zoning Regulations	60
<b>Design</b>	
Schematic Design	320
Engineering Systems	360
Construction Cost	120
Design Development	320
Construction Documents	1,200
Material Selection and Specification	160
<b>Project Management</b>	
Bidding and Contract Negotiation	120
Construction Administration	240
Construction Phase: Observation	120
General Project Management	240
<b>Practice Management</b>	
Business Operations	80
Leadership and Service	80
<b>TOTAL HOURS</b>	<b>3,740</b>

The current reporting requirement still applies, so don't forget to submit experience within eight months for full credit. Experience reported beyond this period and up to five years prior will be valued at 50 percent.

**Important:** We recommend that interns continue to report all experience. Doing so will help facilitate licensure and reciprocity in jurisdictions that require additional experience.

### Key Takeaways

Check with NCARB or your jurisdiction. Some jurisdictions may require additional hours and/or have a minimum employment requirement.

Keep reporting hours. You can continue to document all experience through My NCARB.

NCARB will continue to support the individual requirements for all 54 jurisdictions.

### What's Next

NCARB will continue to share updates over the next few months. Remember, it's a good idea to check with NCARB or your jurisdiction for the latest rules and regulations. And you can always reach out to NCARB's Customer Service team at 202/879-0520 or [customerservice@ncarb.org](mailto:customerservice@ncarb.org).

## Recently Resolved Compliance Cases

The following cases were reviewed for compliance by the Nebraska Board of Engineers and Architects, and resolved via the action noted. These summaries are provided for licensee education and information, and should not be interpreted as a full description of the cases described. In cases where disciplinary action was taken by the Board per Neb. Rev. Stat. § 81-3444, the names of the individuals and/or organizations involved are included.

---

### CASE #13.01:

**COMPLAINT:** Unauthorized use of the term "Engineering"

**SUMMARY:** Staff discovered that an unlicensed engineer had incorporated an organization using the term "engineering" when it was not authorized to do so.

**ACTION:** After the Board's investigation, the organization agreed to change its name in order to be in compliance with the Act. Upon filing amended articles of incorporation and making changes to its website, the Board closed the case.

---

### CASE #14.02:

**COMPLAINT:** Non-full disclosure

**SUMMARY:** The Board initiated a case after a PE applying for renewal did not fully disclose his disciplinary history for the second time.

**ACTION:** Because the licensee did not disclose a complete disciplinary history after having been previously warned, the Board voted not to renew his license.

---

### CASE #14.11:

**COMPLAINT:** Unprofessional conduct

**SUMMARY:** A client filed a complaint against an architect because they were unhappy with their house design and wanted a refund.

**ACTION:** The Board dismissed the case as the Act does not provide for the requested remedy, but did require the licensee to remove language from the company's website identifying the client with the project.

---

### CASE #14.12, 14.16 AND 14.17:

**COMPLAINT:** Negligence and unlicensed practice

**SUMMARY:** A complaint was filed alleging a PE was providing inadequate work on structural evaluations for home inspections. Subsequent complaints were filed by the Board to investigate the home inspection business owners to determine who was providing engineering for the projects.

**ACTION:** The Board dismissed the cases after clarifying that the home inspection service was one business and the PE owned a separate business entity. The PE was advised to submit the engineering report on his letterhead, not within a joint letter signed by himself and a non-licensee.

---

### CASE #14.14:

**COMPLAINT:** Unlicensed and unauthorized practice

**SUMMARY:** A complaint was filed alleging that a company that does not employ Professional Engineers nor is authorized to offer Professional Engineering services was offering professional services through email advertisements.

**ACTION:** The Board dismissed the complaint after reviewing the advertisement and the organization's website to determine that the organization was not offering professional services.

---

### CASE #14.15:

**COMPLAINT:** Unprofessional conduct

**SUMMARY:** A complaint submitted cited a new architectural firm website contained projects in its portfolio from a previous employer without proper credit.

**ACTION:** The Board dismissed the complaint after reviewing the website and determining the credit given to the previous employer was appropriate.

---

### CASE #14.18:

**COMPLAINT:** Unlicensed practice of architecture

**SUMMARY:** A complaint was filed after a designer prepared construction plans that were submitted to a city building department for permitting on a non-exempt commercial project.

**ACTION:** The Board issued a cease and desist order to the designer, then dismissed the case.

---

### CASE #14.19:

**COMPLAINT:** Unprofessional conduct

**SUMMARY:** A complaint was filed that alleged a Professional Engineer violated the Act in the course of his employment.

**ACTION:** The Board determined the PE did not violate the Act and dismissed the complaint.

---

### CASE #15.02:

**RECIPROCAL ACTION -  
PE LICENSE REVOKED**

**SUMMARY:** The Board initiated a complaint against Stephen P. Maslan, a PE based in Missouri, after the licensee disclosed his PE license in Missouri had been revoked. The Missouri action was based upon the finding that Mr. Maslan had practiced architecture without a license, then later violated the probation terms for that violation. Mr. Maslan was further found to have practiced engineering disciplines in which the probationary terms prohibited him from practicing on three separate projects.

**ACTION:** A formal disciplinary hearing was held during the March 20, 2015 Board meeting. Based upon the disciplinary action taken in Missouri for actions that would also have been grounds for disciplinary action in Nebraska, the Board determined that Rule 5.4.3.1 was appropriate and unanimously voted to revoke Mr. Maslan's Nebraska PE license.

# Licensure Updates

October 17, 2014 through April 29, 2015

## Architects By Exam

James W. Dahlin	Omaha	NE
Troy D. Keilig	Grand Island	NE
Evan M. Gunn	Lincoln	NE
Nongpanga Apple		
Pookayaporn Alahmad	Omaha	NE
Katie E. Ramsbottom	Omaha	NE
Matthew J. Stoffel	Omaha	NE
Brian P. Akert	Papillion	NE
Matthew W. Gulsvig	Omaha	NE
Heather M. Hughes	Omaha	NE
Kathryn L. Hier	Lincoln	NE
April M. Kick	Omaha	NE
Laura C. Brodersen	Lincoln	NE

## Professional Engineers By Exam

### Chemical

Joshua M. Tungland	LaVista	NE
--------------------	---------	----

### Civil

Zachary J. Becker	Lincoln	NE
Brandon D. Biba	Lincoln	NE
Benjamin S. Christensen	Omaha	NE
Cameron J. Collingsworth	Elkhorn	NE
Tyler D.Cramer	Lincoln	NE
John J. Daly, Jr	Overland Park	KS
John C. Feider	LaVista	NE
Luke J. Ferguson	Valley	NE
Kyle J. Fitch	Bennington	NE
Alexander J. Flanigan	Omaha	NE
Andrea L. Folck	Morrill	NE
John R. Geringer	Waterloo	NE
Daniel C. Haggerty	Oneill	NE
Amber M. Harley	Omaha	NE
Garrett D. Hummel	Omaha	NE
Joshua J. Kankovsky	Omaha	NE
Kyle G. Keller	Lincoln	NE
Randall R. Kuszak	Omaha	NE
Kpandji T. Lakmon	Omaha	NE
Brian S. Larson	Lincoln	NE
Jed A. McInerney	Elk Point	SD
Brian J. Meissner	Omaha	NE
Corbin E. Mundt	Lincoln	NE
Quinton G. Patzlaff	Omaha	NE
Craig T. Rothluebber	Lincoln	NE
Kevin M. Sasse	Omaha	NE
Travis M. Schafer	Omaha	NE
Evan J. Schmitz	Grand Island	NE
Bradley J. Sojka	Omaha	NE
Kevin R. Stachura	Columbus	NE
Lucas R. Weatherly	Omaha	NE

## Control Systems

Brandon James Simrell	Prairie Village	KS
-----------------------	-----------------	----

## Electrical and Computer

Jeffrey James Buttermore	Omaha	NE
Blane Edward Chesnut	Omaha	NE
Matthew Ray Johnson	Lincoln	NE
Lauren Rebecca Kennedy	Kansas City	MO
Nicholas William Lovelace	Lincoln	NE
Channing Alexander Navis	LaVista	NE
Clinton John Rhodes	Hasting	NE

Nathan William Witte	Loiusville	NE
----------------------	------------	----

## Environmental

Matthew Patrick Dworak	Omaha	NE
Travis Scott Morgan Young	Overland Park	KS

## Fire Protection

Michael Jonathan Shumpert	Omaha	NE
---------------------------	-------	----

## Mechanical

Christopher John Burton	Lincoln	NE
Daniel Edward Carlson	Lincoln	NE
Craig Peter Johnson	Omaha	NE
Christopher Allen Kohler	Lenexa	KS
Ian Davis Peterson	Omaha	NE
Benjamin Jay Schroeder	Lincoln	NE
Nathan Al Starosik	Louisville	NE

## Structural

Steven William Arens	Lincoln	NE
Sean Alan Denning	Bellevue	NE

## Emeritus Licensees Architect Emeritus

Joe Dana Frye	Fort Collins	CO
Steve Roger Laughlin	Omaha	NE
Chet G. Lawson	Greenville	SC
Mark Wayne Leblang	South Bend	IN
Robert Gene LeZotte	Lincoln	NE
John Elden Meigs	Omaha	NE
John Mitchell Miller	Omaha	NE
Douglas William Reddington	Indianapolis	IN
Bernard Paul Remppe	Lincoln	NE
Russell J. Robertson	Wildwood	MO
Frederick Seward Scott	St Louis	MO
Terry Raye Stoysich, Sr	Hurst	TX
Neil Eugene Wiegert	Highlands Ranch	CO

## Engineer Emeritus

David Charles Dietz	Lincoln	NE
Gerald Naylor Gibson	Lincoln	NE
David Lester Hardan	Bakersfield	CA
Fred LeRoy Hiatt	Lincoln	NE
Kenneth Duane Knuth	Slayton	MN
Richard Thomas Laferla	Omaha	NE
Richard James Laman	Littleton	CO
Dennis Wayne Lang	Fort Worth	TX
Stephen Richard Lee	Port Washington	NY
Charles Henry Leichner, III	Scottsdale	AZ
Gary Steven Lien	Omaha	NE
Guy Henry Lindeman	Lincoln	NE
Brian C. Lindsey	Atlanta	GA
Arthur Kum-Piu Ma	Lincoln	NE
Keith Wayne MacLeod	Erie	CO
Richard P. Martter	Irving	TX
William Doyle May	Aiea	HI
Patrick R. McCluskey	Gilbert	AZ
David William McCord	Omaha	NE
Howard Hugh McNiff	Cook	NE
Donald Fred Meinheit	Arlington Heights	IL
Robert Leon Meinzer	Topeka	KS
John Terance Meng-Frecker	Omaha	NE
Dwayne George Miller	Las Vegas	NV
Agashirin Gary Mirzakanov	Colorado Springs	CO

Robert Thomas Mohler	Ord	NE
Donald Wayne Montgomery	Clifton Park	NY
Merlin Henry Moseman	Kingwood	TX
Mark Edward Naslund	Omaha	NE
Kent Richard Nelsen	Waterford	MI
Joseph R. Nelson	Overland Park	KS
Wayne Edward Nichols	Manhattan	KS
Richard Michael Niedergeses	Omaha	NE
Lester Delton O'Donnell	Norht Platte	NE
Carmen Ovici	Omaha	NE
Roger Kent Patterson	Gold River	CA
Joseph John Pesek	Omaha	NE
Samuel Marvin Peterson	Lincoln	NE
Kenneth Wayne Price	Palmer	AK
William Joseph Provaznik, Jr	Omaha	NE
Larry R Rainwater	Omaha	NE
Alan W Richard	Omaha	NE
Wayne B Roelle	Lincoln	NE
Kenneth A Roth	LaVista	NE
Robert J. Runge, II	Springville	NY
Dale William Sall	Holdrege	NE
Kyle Edward Schilling	Fort Valley	VA
Steven Ray Schmidt	Omaha	NE
Dennis Donald Schulte	Lincoln	NE
Joseph Eugene Seda	Papillion	NE
Keith Howat Sennate	Colorado Springs	CO
Jeffrey Lee Shimek	Garland	NE
Gary Ernesti Sindelar	W Des Moines	IA
Marc A. Skillman	Greenwood	IN
Paul Craig Smith	Lincoln	NE
Dana Edward Snyder	Seward	NE
Robert Stephen Spinazzola	Sparks	MD
Leo Herbert Stander, Jr	Cary	NC
Edward Lawrence Stephens, Jr	Omaha	NE
Wallace Ralph Stern	Omaha	NE
Laverne Ellis Stetson	Lincoln	NE
Clinton Douglas Stevens	Arlington Heights	IL
Michael Alan Stewart	Clute	TX
Thomas LeRoy Thelen	Lincoln	NE
Sampson Okechukwu Ukpaka	Lawton	OK
Rodney Irl Unruh	Shawnee Msn	KS
Dwane Edward Vickstrom	Charlotte	NC
Philip Alwin Wagner	Springfield	NE
Mark Richard Wainwright	Williamsburg	VA
William David Wall	Kansas City	MO
Charles Raymond Warren	North Bend	NE
Jack Theodore Watson	Lincoln	NE
Michael Wedell West	Centennial	CO
Gary Arthur Westergren	Lincoln	NE
Thomas Joseph Wiehl	O Fallon	IL
Robert James Yester	Lakewood	CO
Paul M. Zink	Kansas City	MO

## Deceased Licensees Architect

Rudolph Beuc, Jr.	St. Loius	MO
Thomas Porter	Columbia	MO
Michael Graves	Princeton	NJ

## Engineer

Richard Harsh	Ravenna	OH
John Olsson	Lincoln	NE

## Shed

It's a board-and-batten, cedar plank shed with eighty or ninety years of moonlight rubbed into the grain, and I've been driving past for almost twenty years, thinking that it might some morning have let down a corner of its roof under the nagging weight of the Milky Way or have collapsed overnight under the knock of one last Osage orange, rapping the shingles and rolling off into the darness, but it stays the same, its rusty nail heads lifted aboe the dried out wood but the old nails holding, the doorway just as cold and deep and black as it always was, this tool-shed, machine-shed, whatever you call it, set back from the road and shining with a kind of perserverance.

Ted Kooser

*Printed with permission from Ted Kooser.*

**Ted Kooser**, former U.S. Poet Laureate (2004-2006), and recipient of the 2005 Pulitzer Prize for Poetry, a Nebraska Poet.



From *Hanna Sketchbook*, 2006.

By **Robert L. Hanna**, architectural illustrator and a Nebraska Emeritus Architect.

*Do not copy, modify, publish, transmit, transfer, reproduce, create derivative works from, distribute, or in any way exploit either in whole or in part any of the creative works published in this newsletter without the creator's permission.*

PRSRF STD  
U.S. POSTAGE  
**PAID**  
Lincoln, Nebraska  
Permit No. 212

**STATE OF NEBRASKA BOARD OF  
ENGINEERS AND ARCHITECTS**  
215 Centennial Mall South, Suite 400  
P.O. Box 95165, Lincoln, NE 68509

